

ANALYSIS OF PEER TEAMS FEED BACK ON CURRICULUM

ACADEMIC YEAR- 2022 – 2023 ODD SEMESTER

Number of Respondents: 02

| S.NO | Particulars   | SA % | A % | N % | D % | SD % |
|------|---|------|-----|-----|-----|------|
| 1    | Duration allotted for the course is sufficient                                  | 60   | 40  | -   | -   | -    |
| 2    | Course gives enough depth knowledge to the students                             | 60   | 40  | -   | -   | -    |
| 3    | Continuity of the course is good.   | 40   | 60  | -   | -   | -    |
| 4    | ..... are evenly distributed.   | 80   | 20  | -   | -   | -    |
| 5    | Faced any ambiguity in the course.  | -    | -   | -   | 20  | 80   |
| 6    | Application oriented concepts are included in the course                        | -    | 100 | -   | -   | -    |
| 7    | Employability is given weightage in the syllabi.                                | -    | 80  | 20  | -   | -    |
| 8    | Course Content differs from parent University syllabi.                          | 80   | 20  | -   | -   | -    |
| 9    | Course activities (presentation, assignment, tests) are Useful to the students. | 60   | 40  | -   | -   | -    |
| 10   | Credit weightage assigned to the course is good.                                | 60   | 40  | -   | -   | -    |
| 11   | The course materials are helpful to the students.                               | 60   | 40  | -   | -   | -    |
| 12   | The course focuses on the research concepts.                                    | 100  | -   | -   | -   | -    |

The peer team feedback on curriculum was collected from the faculty members before the BOS and during the time of BOS meeting. Percentage analysis was done and the following interpretations are given

60% of the peer team members strongly agreed and 40% agreed that the duration allotted for the entire course is sufficient.

60% of the peer team members were strongly agreed and 40% of them agreed that course gives enough depth knowledge to the students.

60% of the peer team members agreed and 40% of them strongly agreed that the continuity of the course is good.

80% of the peer team members agreed and 20% of them strongly agreed that that the course contents are evenly distributed.

80 % of the peer team members strongly disagreed, 20% of them disagreed in neutral that majority of the courses face ambiguity.

100% of the peer team members agreed that the application oriented concepts included in the courses were good.

80% of the peer team members agreed and 20% were neutral that the syllabi will be given employability.

80% of the peer team members are strongly agreed and 20% of them agreed that the course contents differs from parent University syllabi.

60% of the peer team members agreed and 40% of them strongly agreed that the course activities (presentation, assignment, tests) are useful to the students.

60% of the peer team members strongly agreed and 40% agreed that the Credit weightage assigned to the course is good.

60% of the peer team members strongly agreed and 40% of them agreed that the course materials will be helpful to the students.

100 % of the peer team members expressed their strongly agreed position that the course focuses on the research concepts

**The suggestions given by peer team for the curriculum were noted. Some of the valued suggestions are recommended by the peer team members.**

Dr. B.Sreeja, Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, CMS College of science and Management, Coimbatore suggested that Calculus of one variable content are good it shall be maintained

Dr. B.Sreeja, Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, CMS College of science and Management, Coimbatore Suggested that Trigonometry content are good it shall be maintained

Action Taken:

The following suggestion are recommended for Curriculum Development cell



**HOD**

Coimbatore- 641 049

PEER TEAM/STAFF FEED BACK FORM ABOUT SYLLABUS

Name of the staff : B. Shweja. (AP and Head) CMG collage.  
 Designation : Assistant Professor and Head Department: Mathematics  
 Subjects Handled : Calculus of one Variable.

Note: your valuable opinions and suggestions are solicited for further improvement of the syllabi. Against each statement a five point scale is given. The five points are strongly Agree (SA), Agree (A), Neutral (N), Disagree (D) and Strongly Disagree (SD).

| S.NO | Particulars   | SA | A | N | D | SD |
|------|---|----|---|---|---|----|
| 1    | Duration allotted for the course is sufficient                                  |    | ✓ |   |   |    |
| 2    | Course gives enough depth knowledge to the students                             |    | ✓ |   |   |    |
| 3    | Continuity of the course is good.   |    | ✓ |   |   |    |
| 4    | The course contents are evenly distributed.                                     |    | ✓ | ✓ |   |    |
| 5    | Faced any ambiguity in the course.  |    |   | ✓ |   |    |
| 6    | Application oriented concepts are included in the course                        |    | ✓ |   |   |    |
| 7    | Emphasis is given weightage in the syllabi.                                     | ✓  |   |   |   |    |
| 8    | Course Content differs from parent University syllabi.                          |    | ✓ |   |   |    |
| 9    | Course activities (presentation, assignment, tests) are Useful to the students. | ✓  |   |   |   |    |
| 10   | Credit weightage assigned to the course is good.                                | ✓  |   |   |   |    |
| 11   | The course materials are helpful to the students.                               | ✓  |   |   |   |    |
| 12   | The course focuses on the research concepts.                                    |    | ✓ |   |   |    |

Any other suggestions:

calculus of one Variable. syllabus  
 content are good.

Signature of the staff  
 Shweja B.

Signature of the HOD

Dr.SNS RAJALAKSHMI COLLEGE OF ARTS & SCIENCE [Autonomous] 2022-2023  
Coimbatore- 641 049 (odd).

PEER TEAM/STAFF FEED BACK FORM ABOUT SYLLABUS

Name of the staff : B. Shweta (AP and Head) CMS College.  
Designation : Assistant Professor and Head. Department: Mathematics.  
Subjects Handled : Trigonometry.

Note: your valuable opinions and suggestions are solicited for further improvement of the syllabi. Against each statement a five point scale is given. The five points are Strongly Agree (SA), Agree (A), Neutral (N), Disagree (D) and Strongly Disagree (SD).

| S.NO | Particulars   | SA | A | N | D | SD |
|------|---|----|---|---|---|----|
| 1    | Duration allotted for the course is sufficient                                  |    | ✓ |   |   |    |
| 2    | Course provides in depth knowledge to the students                              |    | ✓ |   |   |    |
| 3    | Continuity of the course is good.   |    | ✓ |   |   |    |
| 4    | The course contents are evenly distributed.                                     |    | ✓ |   |   |    |
| 5    | Faced any ambiguity in the course.  |    |   | ✓ |   |    |
| 6    | Application oriented concepts are included in the course                        | ✓  |   |   |   |    |
| 7    | Employability is given weightage in the syllabi.                                | ✓  |   |   |   |    |
| 8    | Course Content differs from parent University syllabi.                          | ✓  |   |   |   |    |
| 9    | Course activities (presentation, assignment, tests) are Useful to the students. |    | ✓ |   |   |    |
| 10   | Credit weightage assigned to the course is good.                                |    | ✓ |   |   |    |
| 11   | The course materials are helpful to the students.                               |    | ✓ |   |   |    |
| 12   | The course focuses on the research concepts.                                    | ✓  |   |   |   |    |

Any other suggestions:

Course are good. DT curriculum is very effective.

B. Shweta

Signature of the staff

[Signature]

Signature of the HOD